| ¢ | ase 2:12-cr-00082-APG-VCF | Document 327 | 7 Filed 03/18/13 | Page 1 of 1 |
|----------|---|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 5 | | | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | |
| 8 | * * * | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERIC | CA. | Case No. 2:12-cr-00 | 0082-MMD-VCF |
| 10 | | Plaintiff, | | RDER |
| 11 | V. | | | e Process in Closing |
| 12 | VANESSA RUIZ, Argument – dkt. no. 289) | | – dkt. no. 289) | |
| 13 | | Defendants. | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | Before the Court is Defendant's Motion for Due Process in Closing Argument | | | |
| 16 | (dkt. no. 289). Defendant brought the motion to inform the Court of her "position" that | | | |
| 17 | she opposes any "reserved" argument by the government. Defendant appears to seek | | | |
| 18 | a preliminary blanket ruling from the Court directing the government not to reserve any | | | |
| 19 | arguments for rebuttal as part of its closing argument. Defendant's Motion is premature | | | |
| 20 | and is therefore DENIED. | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | DATED THIS 18 th day of March 2013. | | | |
| 23 | - Colo | | | |
| 24 | MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |
| 25 26 | | | | |
| 26 27 | | | | |
| 21 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |